NAVIGATION

- GO Customize Threshold Requirements
- GO Filter Rating Factors
- GO Customize Renewal/Expansion Project Rating Tool
- GO Customize New Project Rating Tool

CUSTOMIZE NEW AND RENEWAL/EXPANSION PROJECT THRESHOLD REQUIREMENTS

| CoC | Threshold Requirements | (Delete the X in the box next to any requirements you do not wish | n to include.) |
|-----|--------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Х | Coordinated Entry Participation | | |
| Х | Housing First and/or Low Barrier Implementati | ion | |
| Х | Documented, secured minimum match | | |
| | Project has reasonable costs per permanent ho | ousing exit, as defined locally | (The first five requirements a |
| | Project is financially feasible | | the rating process either as Th |
| Х | Applicant is active CoC participant | | r. |
| Х | Application is complete and data are consisten | nt | |
| | Data quality at or above 90% | | |
| | Bed/unit utilization rate at or above 90% | | |
| Х | Acceptable organizational audit/financial revie | w | |
| Х | Project Costs are eligible (24 CFR 578 Subpart I | D) | |

FILTER RATING FACTORS

Select project type to edit

Select special population

Select...

Using these drop-down menus, select which rating factors to show and customize

Select...

CUSTOMIZE RENEWAL/EXPANSION PROJECT RATING TOOL

Delete the X in the box besides any rating factor below that you do not wish to include. If desired, adjust the factor/goal and point value for each measure. You can add additional locally-defined criteria below. See the Data Source Chart for information about where to obtain data to use in scoring.

| Performance Measures Fa | | Factor/Goal | l | Max Po | oint Valu |
|-------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------|-----|--------|------------|
| Len | gth of Stay | | | | |
| Х | RRH (General) - On average, participants spend XX days from project entry to residential move-in | 45da | ays | 20 | _ points |
| | RRH (DV) - On average, participants spend XX days from project entry to residential move-in | | | | |
| Х | PSH (General) - On average, participants spend XX days from project entry to residential move-in | 15da | ays | 20 | _points |
| | PSH (DV) - On average, participants spend XX days from project entry to residential move-in | | | | |
| | TH (General) - On average, participants stay in project XX days | | | | |
| | TH (DV) - On average, participants stay in project XX days | | | | |
| x | TH+RRH (General) - TH Component (General) - On average, participants stay in project XX days | 90 da | avs | 10 | points |
| | | | | - | - · |
| X | TH+RRH (DV) - TH Component - On average, participants stay in project XX days | 90da | ays | 10 | points |
| х | TH+RRH (General) - RRH Component - On average, participants spend XX days from project entry to residential move-in | 90da | ays | 10 | points |
| Х | TH+RRH (DV) - RRH Component - On average, participants spend XX days from project entry to residential move-in | 90 da | ays | 10 | points |
| Exit | ss to Permanent Housing | | | | |
| Х | RRH (General) - Minimum percent move to permanent housing | 80% | | 25 | _points |
| | RRH (DV) - Minimum percent move to permanent housing | | | | |
| Х | PSH (General) - Minimum percent remain in or move to permanent housing | 85% | | 25 | _ points |
| | PSH (DV) - Minimum percent remain in or move to permanent housing | | | | |
| | TH (General) - Minimum percent move to permanent housing | | | | |
| | TH (DV) - Minimum percent move to permanent housing | | | | |
| Х | TH+RRH (General) - RRH Component - Minimum percent move to permanent housing | 80 % | | 25 | _points |
| Х | TH+RRH (DV) - RRH Component - Minimum percent move to permanent housing | 80 % | | 25 | points |
| Ret | urns to Homelessness (if data is available for project) | | | | |
| Х | RRH (General) - Maximum percent of participants return to homelessness within 12 months of exit to permanent housing | % | | 15 | points |

CUSTOMIZE RATING CRITERIA

| | RRH (DV) - Maximum percent of participants return to homelessness within 12 months of exit to permanent housing | | | | |
|----------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----|-------|----|---------------|
| X | PSH (General) - Maximum percent of participants return to homelessness within 12 months of exit to permanent housing | 10 | % | 15 | points |
| | PSH (DV) - Maximum percent of participants return to homelessness within 12 months of exit to permanent housing | | | | <u>-</u> ' |
| | TH (General) - Maximum percent of participants return to homelessness within 12 months of exit to permanent housing | | | | |
| | TH (DV) - Maximum percent of participants return to homelessness within 12 months of exit to permanent housing | | | | |
| X | TH+RRH (General) - RRH Component - Maximum percent of participants return to homelessness within 12 months of exit to permanent housing | 15 | % | 15 | points |
| X | TH+RRH (DV) - RRH Component - Maximum percent of participants return to homelessness within 12 months of exit to permanent housing | 15 | % | 15 | – · points |
| | | | | | - ' |
| Nev | v or Increased Income and Earned Income | | | | |
| | RRH (General) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased earned income for project stayers | | | | |
| | RRH (DV) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased earned income for project stayers | | | | |
| | PSH (General) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased earned income for project stayers | | | | |
| | PSH (DV) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased earned income for project stayers | | | | |
| | TH (General) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased earned income for project stayers | | | | |
| | TH (DV) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased earned income for project stayers | | | | |
| | TH+RRH (General) - RRH Component - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased earned income for project stayers | | | | |
| | TH+RRH (DV) - RRH Component - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased earned income for project stayers PRH (General) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased non-amplement income for project stayers | | | | |
| | RRH (General) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased non-employment income for project stayers RRH (DV) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased non-employment income for project stayers | | | | |
| | PSH (General) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased non-employment income for project stayers | | | | |
| | PSH (DV) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased non-employment income for project stayers | | | | |
| | TH (General) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased non-employment income for project stayers | | | | |
| | TH (DV) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased non-employment income for project stayers | | | | |
| | TH+RRH (General) - RRH Component - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased non-employment income for project stayers | | | | |
| | TH+RRH (DV) - RRH Component - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased non-employment income for project stayers | | | | |
| | RRH (General) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased earned income for project leavers | | | | |
| | RRH (DV) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased earned income for project leavers | | | | |
| | PSH (General) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased earned income for project leavers | | | | |
| | PSH (DV) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased earned income for project leavers | | | | |
| | TH (General) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased earned income for project leavers | | | | |
| | TH (DV) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased earned income for project leavers | | | | |
| | TH+RRH (General) - RRH Component - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased earned income for project leavers | | | | |
| | TH+RRH (DV) - RRH Component - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased earned income for project leavers | | | | |
| | RRH (General) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased non-employment income for project leavers | | | | |
| | RRH (DV) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased non-employment income for project leavers | | | | |
| | PSH (General) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased non-employment income for project leavers | | | | |
| | PSH (DV) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased non-employment income for project leavers | | | | |
| | TH (General) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased non-employment income for project leavers | | | | |
| | TH (DV) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased non-employment income for project leavers | | | | |
| | TH+RRH (General) - RRH Component - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased non-employment income for project leavers | | | | |
| | TH+RRH (DV) - RRH Component - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased non-employment income for project leavers | | | | |
| Sei | ve High Need Populations (select from drop-down menu) | | | | |
| | APR data on≥ 50% disability/zero income/unsheltered | | | | |
| X | RRH (General) - Minimum percent of participants with zero income at entry | 25 | % | 10 | points |
| X | RRH (DV) - Minimum percent of participants with zero income at entry | 25 | | 10 | points |
| X | RRH (General) - Minimum percent of participants with more than one disability | 25 | | 10 | points |
| | RRH (DV) - Minimum percent of participants with more than one disability | | | | |
| X | RRH (General) - Minimum percent of participants entering project from place not meant for human habitation | 50 | % | 10 | points |
| | RRH (DV) - Minimum percent of participants entering project from place not meant for human habitation | | | | |
| X | | 50 | % | 10 | noints |
| \vdash | PSH (General) - Minimum percent of participants with zero income at entry | 30 | _′° – | 10 | _ points |
| X | PSH (DV) - Minimum percent of participants with zero income at entry PSH (General) - Minimum percent of participants with more than one disability. | EO | 0/ | 10 | noints |
| \vdash | PSH (General) - Minimum percent of participants with more than one disability PSH (DV) - Minimum percent of participants with more than one disability | 50 | | 10 | _ points |
| X | PSH (DV) - Minimum percent of participants with more than one disability PSH (General) - Minimum percent of participants entering project from place not meant for human habitation | 50 | % | 10 | nointe |
| \vdash | PSH (DV) - Minimum percent of participants entering project from place not meant for human habitation PSH (DV) - Minimum percent of participants entering project from place not meant for human habitation | 30 | | 10 | _ points |
| = | | | | | |
| | TH (General) - Minimum percent of participants with zero income at entry | | | | |
| | TH (DV) - Minimum percent of participants with zero income at entry | | | | |

CUSTOMIZE RATING CRITERIA

| TH (General) - Minimum percent of participants with more than one disability | | | | |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|--------|----|--------------|
| TH (DV) - Minimum percent of participants with more than one disability | | | | |
| TH (General) - Minimum percent of participants entering project from place not meant for human habitation | | | | |
| TH (DV) - Minimum percent of participants entering project from place not meant for human habitation | | | | |
| X TH+RRH (General) - RRH Component - Minimum percent of participants with zero income at entry | 25 | % | 10 | points |
| TH+RRH (DV) - RRH Component - Minimum percent of participants with zero income at entry | 25 | — % | 10 | points |
| TH+RRH (General) - RRH Component - Minimum percent of participants with more than one disability | 25 | — % | 10 | points |
| TH+RRH (DV) - RRH Component - Minimum percent of participants with more than one disability | 25 | — % | 10 | points |
| TH+RRH (General) - RRH Component - Minimum percent of participants entering project from place not meant for human habitation | 50 | — % | 10 | points |
| TH+RRH (DV) - RRH Component - Minimum percent of participants entering project from place not meant for human habitation | 50 | — % | 10 | points |
| | | | | |
| Project Effectiveness | | | | |
| RRH (General) - Costs are within local average cost per positive housing exit for project type | Yes | _ | 20 | points |
| RRH (DV) - Costs are within local average cost per positive housing exit for project type | Yes | _ | 10 | points |
| Y PSH (General) - Costs are within local average cost per positive housing exit for project type | Yes | _ | 20 | points |
| X PSH (DV) - Costs are within local average cost per positive housing exit for project type | Yes | _ | 10 | points |
| TH (General) - Costs are within local average cost per positive housing exit for project type | | | | |
| TH (DV) - Costs are within local average cost per positive housing exit for project type | | | | |
| TH+RRH (General) - RRH Component - Costs are within local average cost per positive housing exit for project type | Yes | _ | 20 | points |
| TH+RRH (DV) - RRH Component - Costs are within local average cost per positive housing exit for project type | Yes | _ | 20 | points |
| RRH (General) - Coordinated Entry Participation- Minimum percent of entries to project from CE referral (or alternative system for DV projects) | 90 | % | 10 | points |
| RRH (DV) - Coordinated Entry Participation- Minimum percent of entries to project from CE referral (or alternative system for DV projects) | 90 | % | 10 | points |
| X PSH (General) - Coordinated Entry Participation- Minimum percent of entries to project from CE referral (or alternative system for DV projects) | 90 | % | 10 | points |
| X PSH (DV) - Coordinated Entry Participation- Minimum percent of entries to project from CE referral (or alternative system for DV projects) | 90 | % | 10 | points |
| TH (General) - Coordinated Entry Participation- Minimum percent of entries to project from CE referral (or alternative system for DV projects) | | | | |
| TH (DV) - Coordinated Entry Participation- Minimum percent of entries to project from CE referral (or alternative system for DV projects) | | | | |
| TH+RRH (General) - RRH Component - Coordinated Entry Participation- Minimum percent of entries to project from CE referral (or alternative system for DV | 90 | % | 10 | points |
| TH+RRH (DV) - RRH Component - Coordinated Entry Participation- Minimum percent of entries to project from CE referral (or alternative system for DV projects) | 90 | — % | 10 | points |
| | - | _ | - | _ |
| X RKH (General) - Housing First and/or Low Barrier Implementation (General) - CoC assessment of fidelity to Housing First from CoC monitoring or review of project policies and procedures | Yes | _ | 10 | points |
| RRH (DV) - Housing First and/or Low Barrier Implementation - CoC assessment of fidelity to Housing First from CoC monitoring or review of project policies and procedures | Yes | | 10 | points |
| PSH (General) - Housing First and/or Low Barrier Implementation (General) - CoC assessment of fidelity to Housing First from CoC monitoring or review of project | Vos | | 10 | naints |
| policies and procedures | Yes | _ | 10 | points |
| | Yes | _ | 10 | points |
| TH (General) - Housing First and/or Low Barrier Implementation (General) - CoC assessment of fidelity to Housing First from CoC monitoring or review of project policies and procedures | | | | |
| TH (DV) - Housing First and/or Low Barrier Implementation - CoC assessment of fidelity to Housing First from CoC monitoring or review of project policies and procedures | | | | |
| TH+RRH (General) - RRH Component - Housing First and/or Low Barrier Implementation (General) - CoC assessment of fidelity to Housing First from CoC monitoring or review of project policies and procedures | Yes | | 10 | points |
| TH+RRH (DV) - RRH Component - Housing First and/or Low Barrier Implementation - CoC assessment of fidelity to Housing First from CoC monitoring or review of | | _ | | |
| project policies and procedures | Yes | _ | 10 | points |
| Facultus Factoria | | | | |
| Equity Factors Agency Leadership, Governance, and Policies | | | | |
| X Recipient has under-representated individuals (BIPOC, LGBTQ+, etc) in managerial and leadership positions | Yes | | 10 | points |
| X Recipient's board of directors includes representation from more than one person with lived experience | Yes | _ | 10 | points |
| X Recipient has relational process for receiving and incorporating feedback from persons with lived experience | Yes | _ | 10 | points |
| Recipient has reviewed internal policies and procedures with an equity lens and has a plan for developing and implementing equitable policies that do not impose undue barriers | | _ | | |
| | | | | |
| Program Participant Outcomes | | | | |
| Recipient has reviewed program participant outcomes with an equity lens, including the disaggregation of data by race, ethnicity, gender identity, and/or age | | | | |
| Recipient has identified programmatic changes needed to make program participant outcomes more equitable and developed a plan to make those changes | | | | |
| X Recipient is working with HMIS lead to develop a schedule for reviewing HMIS data with disaggregation by race, ethnicity, gender identity, and or/age | Yes | _ | 5 | points |
| | | | | |
| Other and Local Criteria (select from drop-down menu) | | | | |
| X Coc Monitoring Score Project is operating in conformance with CoC Standards | Yes | _ | 10 | points |
| RRH (General) - RRH- Any Income | | | | |
| RRH (General) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased income for project leavers | 10% | _ | 2 | points |
| TH+RRH (General) - RRH Component - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased income for project leavers | 10% | _ | 2 | points |
| X TH+RRH (DV) - RRH Component - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased income for project leavers | 10% | | 2 | points |

| Total Maximum Score | RRH-General projects: | 177 | points |
|----------------------------|-------------------------|-----|--------|
| | RRH-DV projects: | 87 | points |
| | PSH-General projects: | 175 | points |
| | PSH-DV projects: | 75 | points |
| | TH-General projects: | 45 | points |
| | TH-DV projects: | 45 | points |
| TH | I+RRH-General projects: | 179 | points |
| | TH+RRH-DV projects: | 179 | points |

CUSTOMIZE NEW PROJECT RATING TOOL

| Experience | Factor/Goal | Max P | Max Point Valu | | |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------|-------|----------------|--|--|
| General-A. Describe the experience of the applicant and sub-recipients (if any) in working with the proposed population and in providing housing similar to t proposed in the application. | hat | 15 | points | | |
| DV-A. Describe the experience of the applicant and sub-recipients (if any) in working with the proposed population and in providing housing similar to that proposed in the application. | | 15 | points | | |
| General-B. Describe experience with utilizing a Housing First approach. Include 1) eligibility criteria; 2) process for accepting new clients; 3) process and crite for exiting clients. Must demonstrate there are no preconditions to entry, allowing entry regardless of current or past substance abuse, income, criminal rec X (with exceptions of restrictions imposed by federal, state, or local law or ordinance), marital status, familial status, self-disclosed or perceived sexual oriental gender identity or gender expression. Must demonstrate the project has a process to address situations that may jeopardize housing or project assistance to ensure that project participation is terminated in only the most severe cases. | ords ion, | 10 | _ points | | |
| DV-B. Describe experience with utilizing a Housing First approach. Include 1) eligibility criteria; 2) process for accepting new clients; 3) process and criteria for exiting clients. Must demonstrate there are no preconditions to entry, allowing entry regardless of current or past substance abuse, income, criminal record (with exceptions of restrictions imposed by federal, state, or local law or ordinance), marital status, familial status, self-disclosed or perceived sexual oriental gender identity or gender expression. Must demonstrate the project has a process to address situations that may jeopardize housing or project assistance to ensure that project participation is terminated in only the most severe cases. | s ion, | 10 | _ points | | |
| General-C. Describe experience in effectively utilizing federal funds including HUD grants and other public funding, including satisfactory drawdowns and performance for existing grants as evidenced by timely reimbursement of subrecipients (if applicable), regular drawdowns, timely resolution of monitoring findings, and timely submission of required reporting on existing grants. | | 5 | _ points | | |
| DV-C. Describe experience in effectively utilizing federal funds including HUD grants and other public funding, including satisfactory drawdowns and perform for existing grants as evidenced by timely reimbursement of subrecipients (if applicable), regular drawdowns, timely resolution of monitoring findings, and tissubmission of required reporting on existing grants. | | 5 | _ points | | |
| Design of Housing & Supportive Services | | | | | |
| General-A. Extent to which the applicant 1) Demonstrates understanding of the needs of the clients to be served. 2) Demonstrates that type, scale, and local of the housing fit the needs of the clients to be served. 3) Demonstrates that type and scale of the all supportive services, regardless of funding source, meet X needs of clients to be served. 4) Demonstrates how clients will be assisted in obtaining mainstream benefits. 5) Establishes performances measures for hous and income that are objective, measurable, trackable and meet or exceed any established HUD or CoC benchmarks. | s the | 15 | points | | |
| DV-A. Extent to which the applicant 1) Demonstrates understanding of the needs of the clients to be served. 2) Demonstrates that type, scale, and location of housing fit the needs of the clients to be served. 3) Demonstrates that type and scale of the all supportive services, regardless of funding source, meets the regardless of clients to be served. 4) Demonstrates how clients will be assisted in obtaining mainstream benefits. 5) Establishes performances measures for housing and income that are objective, measurable, trackable and meet or exceed any established HUD or CoC benchmarks. | needs | 15 | _ points | | |
| X General-B. Describe the plan to assist clients to rapidly secure and maintain permanent housing that is safe, affordable, accessible, and acceptable to their new contractions are contracted by the plan to assist clients to rapidly secure and maintain permanent housing that is safe, affordable, accessible, and acceptable to their new contractions. | eeds. | 5 | points | | |
| X DV-B. Describe the plan to assist clients to rapidly secure and maintain permanent housing that is safe, affordable, accessible, and acceptable to their needs. | | 5 | _ points | | |
| X General-C. Describe how clients will be assisted to increase employment and/or income and to maximize their ability to live independently. | | 5 | _ points | | |
| X DV-C. Describe how clients will be assisted to increase employment and/or income and to maximize their ability to live independently. | | 5 | _ points | | |
| X General-D. Project leverages housing resources with housing subsidies or units not funded through the CoC or ESG programs. | | 10 | _ points | | |
| X DV-D. Project leverages housing resources with housing subsidies or units not funded through the CoC or ESG programs. | | 10 | points | | |
| X General-E. Project leverages health resources, including a partnership commitment with a healthcare organization. | | 10 | points | | |
| X DV-E. Project leverages health resources, including a partnership commitment with a healthcare organization. | | 10 | points | | |

Timeliness

CUSTOMIZE RATING CRITERIA

| General-A. Describe plan for rapid implementation of the program, documenting how the project will be ready to begin housing the first program participant. Provide a detailed schedule of proposed activities for 60 days, 120 days, and 180 days after grant award. | | | 10 | _ points | |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|------|----------|-------------|
| DV-A. Describe plan for rapid implementation of the program, documenting how the project will be ready to begin housing the first program participant. Provide a detailed schedule of proposed activities for 60 days, 120 days, and 180 days after grant award. | | | | 10 | _ points |
| Fina | ncial | | | | |
| X | General-A. Project is cost-effective when projected cost per person served is compared to CoC average within project type. | | | 5 | points |
| X | IV-A. Project is cost-effective when projected cost per person served is compared to CoC average within project type. | | | 5 | — points |
| B | . Organization's most recent audit: | | | | _ |
| Х | General-1. Found no exceptions to standard practicess | | | 2.5 | points |
| X | DV-1. Found no exceptions to standard practicess | | | 2.5 | points |
| X X X X | General-2. Identified agency as 'low risk' | | | 2.5 | points |
| Х | DV-2. Identified agency as 'low risk' | | | 2.5 | points |
| X | General-3. Indicates no findings | | | 2.5 | points |
| X | DV-3. Indicates no findings | | | 2.5 | points |
| \equiv | | | | | |
| = | General-C. Documented match amount meets HUD requirements. | | | 5 | _ points |
| = | IV-C. Documented match amount meets HUD requirements. | | | 5 | _ points |
| X | General-D. Budgeted costs are reasonable, allocable, and allowable. | | | 20 | _ points |
| X | IV-D. Budgeted costs are reasonable, allocable, and allowable. | | | 20 | _ points |
| Dual | net Effectiveness | | | | |
| | ect Effectiveness General-Coordinated Entry Participation- Minimum percent of entries projected to come from CE referrals | 90 | % | 5 | points |
| = | | 90 | _ ^^ | 5 | - ' |
| LX L | IV-Coordinated Entry Participation- Minimum percent of entries projected to come from CE referrals ——————————————————————————————————— | 90 | _% | 5 | _ points |
| • | ty Factors | | | | |
| | y Leadership, Governance, and Policies lew project has under-representated individuals (BIPOC, LGBTQ+, etc) in managerial and leadership positions | Yes | | 10 | points |
| | | | _ | 10 | - ' |
| = | lew project's organizational board of directors includes representation from more than one person with lived experience (per 578.75(g)) | Yes | - | | _ points |
| \Box | lew project has relational process for receiving and incorporating feedback from persons with lived experience or a plan to create one | | | | |
| 1 1 | lew project has reviewed internal policies and procedures with an equity lens and has a plan for developing and implementing equitable policies that do not mpose undue barriers that exacerbate disparities and outcomes | | | | |
| Progra | am Participant Outcomes | | | | |
| - | lew project describes their plan for reviewing program participant outcomes with an equity lens, including the disaggregation of data by race, ethnicity, gender | | | | |
| i | dentity, and/or age. If already implementing a plan, describe findings from outcomes review | | | | |
| 1 1 | lew project describes plan to review whether programmatic changes are needed to make program participant outcomes more equitable and developed a plan to nake those changes. If already implementing plan, describe findings from review | | | | |
| 1 Y I | lew project describes plan to work with HMIS lead to develop a schedule for reviewing HMIS data with disaggregation by race, ethnicity, gender identity, and r/age. If already implementing plan, describe findings from review | | | 10 | _ points |
| Othe | er and Local Criteria | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

Total Maximum Score General projects: 72.5 points