		NAVIGATION	
	GO Customize Threshold Requirements		
	GO Filter Rating Factors		
	GO Customize Renewal/Expansion Project Rating Tool GO Customize New Project Rating Tool		
		(EVENNOIS) PROJECT TH	
	CUSTOMIZE NEW AND RENEWAL		RESHOLD REQUIREMENTS
	CoC Threshold Requirements (Delete the X in the box next to any n	requirements you do not wish to include.)	
	X Housing First and/or Low Barrier Implementation		
	X Documented, secured minimum match		
	Project has reasonable costs per permanent housing exit, as defined locally	(The	first five requirements are recommended to be included in the rating process either as Threshold Require
	Project is financially feasible X Applicant is active CoC participant		
	X Application is complete and data are consistent		
	Data quality at or above 90%		
	Bed/unit utilization rate at or above 90% X Acceptable organizational audit/financial review		
	X Project Costs are eligible (24 CFR 578 Subpart D and Supplemental NOFO)		
	X The project is consistent with the plan described by the CoC in response to Section VII.	B.4 of this NOFO.	
	FIL	TER RATING FACTORS	
Se	Select project type to edit		Select special populations to edit
	Select Using these drop-down menus, select which rating factors to show and cust	omize	Select
	CUSTOMIZE RENEW	AL/EXPANSION PROJECT	RATING TOOL
Deli	Delete the X in the box besides any rating factor below that you do not wish to include. If desired, adjust the factor/goal and point value		
	use in scoring.	jor edeli medsare. Tod edir dad dadicional loca	my defined entertal below. See the batta bourte entity for information about where to obtain data to
Pe	Performance Measures	Factor/Goal	Max Point Value
Len	Length of Stay RRH (General) - On average, participants spend XX days from project entry to residential move-in		
	RRH (DV) - On average, participants spend XX days from project entry to residential move-in		
	PSH (General) - On average, participants spend XX days from project entry to residential move-in		
\vdash	PSH (DV) - On average, participants spend XX days from project entry to residential move-in TH (General) - On average, participants stay in project XX days		
H	TH (DV) - On average, participants stay in project XX days		
Г			
H	TH+RRH (General) - TH Component (General) - On average, participants stay in project XX days		
L	TH+RRH (DV) - TH Component - On average, participants stay in project XX days		
	TH+RRH (General) - RRH Component - On average, participants spend XX days from project entry to residential move-in		
	TH+RRH (DV) - RRH Component - On average, participants spend XX days from project entry to residential move-in		
Exit	Exits to Permanent Housing		
	RRH (General) - Minimum percent move to permanent housing		
H	RRH (DV) - Minimum percent move to permanent housing PSH (General) - Minimum percent remain in or move to permanent housing		
E	PSH (DV) - Minimum percent remain in or move to permanent housing		
	TH (General) - Minimum percent move to permanent housing		
┝	TH (DV) - Minimum percent move to permanent housing TH+RRH (General) - RRH Component - Minimum percent move to permanent housing		
H	TH+RRH (OP) - RRH Component - Minimum percent move to permanent nousing		
Ret	Returns to Homelessness (if data is available for project)		
	RRH (General) - Maximum percent of participants return to homelessness within 12 months of exit to permanent housing		
F	RRH (DV) - Maximum percent of participants return to homelessness within 12 months of exit to permanent housing		
H	PSH (General) - Maximum percent of participants return to homelessness within 12 months of exit to permanent housing PSH (DV) - Maximum percent of participants return to homelessness within 12 months of exit to permanent housing		
	TH (General) - Maximum percent of participants return to homelessness within 12 months of exit to permanent housing		
	TH (DV) - Maximum percent of participants return to homelessness within 12 months of exit to permanent housing		
H	TH+RRH (General) - RRH Component - Maximum percent of participants return to homelessness within 12 months of exit to permanent h TH+RRH (DV) - RRH Component - Maximum percent of participants return to homelessness within 12 months of exit to permanent housin		
<u>.</u> .		•	
Nev	New or Increased Income and Earned Income RRH (General) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased earned income for project stayers		
	RRH (DV) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased earned income for project stayers		
H	PSH (General) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased earned income for project stayers		
\vdash	PSH (DV) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased earned income for project stayers TH (General) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased earned income for project stayers		
	TH (DV) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased earned income for project stayers		
F	TH+RRH (General) - RRH Component - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased earned income for project stayers		
\vdash	TH+RRH (DV) - RRH Component - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased earned income for project stayers RRH (General) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased non-employment income for project stayers		
	RRH (DV) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased non-employment income for project stayers		
늗	PSH (General) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased non-employment income for project stayers		
\vdash	PSH (DV) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased non-employment income for project stayers TH (General) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased non-employment income for project stayers		

TH (DV) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased non-employment income for project stayers

TH+RRH (General) - RRH Component - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased non-employment income for project stayers

		TH-General projects:	0	points points
		PSH-DV projects:	0	points
		PSH-General projects:	0	points
	Total Maximum Score	RRH-General projects: RRH-DV projects:	0	points
	CoC Monitoring Score Project is operating in conformance with CoC Standards			
Oth	her and Local Criteria (select from drop-down menu)			
	,			
_	Recipient has identified programmatic changes needed to make program participant outcomes more equitable and developed a plan to make those changes Recipient is working with HMIS lead to develop a schedule for reviewing HMIS data with disaggregation by race, ethnicity, gender identity, and or/age			
_	Recipient has reviewed program participant outcomes with an equity lens, including the disaggregation of data by race, ethnicity, gender identity, and/or age			
Prog	gram Participant Outcomes			
	Recipient has reviewed internal policies and procedures with an equity lens and has a plan for developing and implementing equitable policies that do not impose undue barriers			
_	Recipient has relational process for receiving and incorporating feedback from persons with lived experience			
_	Recipient's board of directors includes representation from more than one person with lived experience			
	Recipient has under-representated individuals (BIPOC, LGBTQ+, etc) in managerial and leadership positions			
	ncy Leadership, Governance, and Policies			
Εαι	uity Factors			
	review of project policies and procedures			
퓜	TH-RRH (DV) - RRH Component - Housing First and/or Low Barrier Implementation - CoC assessment of fidelity to Housing First from CoC monitoring or			
	TH-RRH (General) - RRH Component - Housing First and/or Low Barrier Implementation (General) - CoC assessment of fidelity to Housing First from CoC monitoring or review of project policies and procedures			
	TH (DV) - Housing First and/or Low Barrier Implementation - CoC assessment of fidelity to Housing First from CoC monitoring or review of project policies and procedures			
	project policies and procedures			
=	PSH (DV) - Housing First and/or Low Barrier Implementation - CoC assessment of fidelity to Housing First from CoC monitoring or review of project policies and procedures TH (General) - Housing First and/or Low Barrier Implementation (General) - CoC assessment of fidelity to Housing First from CoC monitoring or review of			
Щ	project policies and procedures PSH (DV) - Housing First and/or Low Barrier Implementation - CoC assessment of fidelity to Housing First from CoC monitoring or review of project policies and procedures			
=	PSH (General) - Housing First and/or Low Barrier Implementation (General) - CoC assessment of fidelity to Housing First from CoC monitoring or review of			
=	project policies and procedures RRH (DV) - Housing First and/or Low Barrier Implementation - CoC assessment of fidelity to Housing First from CoC monitoring or review of project policies and procedures			
Ī	RRH (General) - Housing First and/or Low Barrier Implementation (General) - CoC assessment of fidelity to Housing First from CoC monitoring or review of			
	TH+RRH (DV) - RRH Component - Coordinated Entry Participation - Minimum percent of entries to project from CE referral (or alternative system for DV			
爿	TH+RRH (General) - RRH Component - Coordinated Entry Participation- Minimum percent of entries to project from CE referral (or alternative system for DV			
+	TH (DV) - Coordinated Entry Participation- Minimum percent of entries to project from CE referral (or alternative system for DV projects) TH (DV) - Coordinated Entry Participation- Minimum percent of entries to project from CE referral (or alternative system for DV projects)			
_	PSH (DV) - Coordinated Entry Participation- Minimum percent of entries to project from CE referral (or alternative system for DV projects) TH (General) - Coordinated Entry Participation- Minimum percent of entries to project from CE referral (or alternative system for DV projects)			
_	PSH (General) - Coordinated Entry Participation- Minimum percent of entries to project from CE referral (or alternative system for DV projects)			
	RRH (DV) - Coordinated Entry Participation- Minimum percent of entries to project from CE referral (or alternative system for DV projects)			
	RRH (General) - Coordinated Entry Participation- Minimum percent of entries to project from CE referral (or alternative system for DV projects)			
	TH+RRH (DV) - RRH Component - Costs are within local average cost per positive housing exit for project type			
٥	TH+RRH (General) - RRH Component - Costs are within local average cost per positive housing exit for project type			
=	TH (General) - Costs are within local average cost per positive housing exit for project type TH (DV) - Costs are within local average cost per positive housing exit for project type			
_	PSH (DV) - Costs are within local average cost per positive housing exit for project type TH (General) - Costs are within local average cost per positive housing exit for project type			
=	PSH (General) - Costs are within local average cost per positive housing exit for project type			
\blacksquare	RRH (DV) - Costs are within local average cost per positive housing exit for project type			
	RRH (General) - Costs are within local average cost per positive housing exit for project type			
Pro	oject Effectiveness			
	, , ,			
=	TH+RRH (DV) - RRH Component - XXXX of participants are chronically homeless			
_	TH-RRH (General) - RRH Component - XXXX of participants are chronically homeless			
=	TH (General) - XXXX of participants are chronically homeless TH (DV) - XXXX of participants are chronically homeless			
_	PSH (DV) - XXX of participants are chronically homeless TH (General) - XXX of participants are chronically homeless			
닉	PSH (General) - XXX of participants are chronically homeless			
\Box	RRH (DV) - XX% of participants are chronically homeless			
	RRH (General) - XXX% of participants are chronically homeless			
	Project focuses on chronically homeless people			
Ser	rve High Need Populations (select from drop-down menu)			
	TH+RRH (DV) - RRH Component - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased non-employment income for project leavers			
	TH+RRH (General) - RRH Component - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased non-employment income for project leavers			
Щ	TH (DV) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased non-employment income for project leavers			
	TH (General) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased non-employment income for project leavers			
	PSH (DV) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased non-employment income to project leaves			
=	RRH (DV) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased non-employment income for project leavers PSH (General) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased non-employment income for project leavers			
=	RRH (General) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased non-employment income for project leavers			
	TH-RRH (DV) - RRH Component - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased earned income for project leavers			
	TH-RRH (General) - RRH Component - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased earned income for project leavers			
=	TH (General) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased earned income for project leavers TH (DV) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased earned income for project leavers			
	PSH (DV) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased earned income for project leavers			
	PSH (General) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased earned income for project leavers			
H	RRH (DV) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased earned income top project reserves			
\neg	TH+RRH (DV) - RRH Component - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased non-employment income for project stayers RRH (General) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased earned income for project leavers			

	CUSTOMIZE NEW PROJECT RATING TOOL	
Experience	Factor/Goal	Max Point Value
X General-A. Describe the experience of the applicant and sub-recipients (if any) in working with the proposed that proposed in the application.	population and in providing housing similar to	15points
DV-A. Describe the experience of the applicant and sub-recipients (if any) in working with the proposed pop proposed in the application.	Jlation and in providing housing similar to that	
General-B. Describe experience with utilizing a Housing First approach. Include 1) eligibility criteria; 2) proce criteria for exiting clients. Must demonstrate there are no preconditions to entry, allowing entry regardless X X Experiment records (with exceptions of restrictions imposed by federal, state, or local law or ordinance), marital perceived sexual orientation, gender identity or gender expression. Must demonstrate the project has a prochousing or project assistance to ensure that project participation is terminated in only the most severe cases.	of current or past substance abuse, income, I status, familial status, self-disclosed or sess to address situations that may jeopardize	
DV-8. Describe experience with utilizing a Housing First approach. Include 1) eligibility criteria; 2) process fo for exiting clients. Must demonstrate there are no preconditions to entry, allowing entry regardless of curre records (with exceptions of restrictions imposed by federia, state, or local law or ordinance), marital status, orientation, gender identity or gender expression. Must demonstrate the project has a process to address sit assistance to ensure that project participation is terminated in only the most severe cases.	nt or past substance abuse, income, criminal familial status, self-disclosed or perceived sexual	
General-C. Describe experience in effectively utilizing federal funds including HUD grants and other public fu performance for existing grants as evidenced by timely reimbursement of subrecipients (if applicable), regul		7 points
DV-C. Describe experience in effectively utilizing federal funds including HUD grants and other public funding performance for existing grants as evidenced by timely reimbursement of subrecipients (if applicable), regul		
Design of Housing & Supportive Services General-A. Extent to which the applicant 1) Demonstrates understanding of the needs of the clients to be see location of the housing fit the needs of the clients to be served. 3) Demonstrates that type and scale of the a source, meets the needs of clients to be served. 4) Demonstrates how clients will be assisted in obtaining measures for housing and income that are objective, measurable, trackable and meet or exceed any establish	all supportive services, regardiess of funding ainstream benefits. 5) Establishes performances	15points
DV-A. Extent to which the applicant 1) Demonstrates understanding of the needs of the clients to be served. of the housing fit the needs of the clients to be served. 3) Demonstrates that type and scale of the all suppo	rtive services, regardless of funding source, m benefits. 5) Establishes performances	
X General-8. Describe the plan to assist clients to rapidly secure and maintain permanent housing that is safe, needs.	affordable, accessible, and acceptable to their	5 points
DV-B. Describe the plan to assist clients to rapidly secure and maintain permanent housing that is safe, affor	dable, accessible, and acceptable to their needs.	
X General-C. Describe how clients will be assisted to increase employment and/or income and to maximize the	ir ability to live independently.	5points
DV-C. Describe how clients will be assisted to increase employment and/or income and to maximize their ab	ility to live independently.	
X General-D. Project leverages housing resources with housing subsidies or units not funded through the CoC	or ESG programs.	points
DV-D. Project leverages housing resources with housing subsidies or units not funded through the CoC or ES		
X General-E. Project leverages health resources, including a partnership commitment with a healthcare organic		points
	t.	
Timeliness		
X general-A. Describe plan for rapid implementation of the program, documenting how the project will be rea		10 points

location of the housing fit the needs of the clients to be served. 3) Demonstrates that type and scale of the all supportive services, regardless of funding x measures for housing and income that are objective, measurable, trackable and meet or exceed any established HUD or CoC benchmarks.	15 points
DV-A. Extent to which the applicant 1) Demonstrates understanding of the needs of the clients to be served. 2) Demonstrates that type, scale, and location of the housing fit the needs of the clients to be served. 3) Demonstrates that type and scale of the all supportive services, regardless of funding source, meets the needs of clients to be served. 4) Demonstrates how clients will be assisted in obtaining mainstrables benefits. 5) Establishes performances measures for housing and income that are objective, measurable, trackable and meet or exceed any established HUD or CoC benchmarks.	
X General-B. Describe the plan to assist clients to rapidly secure and maintain permanent housing that is safe, affordable, accessible, and acceptable to their needs.	5 points
DV-B. Describe the plan to assist clients to rapidly secure and maintain permanent housing that is safe, affordable, accessible, and acceptable to their needs.	
X General-C. Describe how clients will be assisted to increase employment and/or income and to maximize their ability to live independently.	5points
DV-C. Describe how clients will be assisted to increase employment and/or income and to maximize their ability to live independently.	
X General-D. Project leverages housing resources with housing subsidies or units not funded through the CoC or ESG programs.	10points
DV-D. Project leverages housing resources with housing subsidies or units not funded through the CoC or ESG programs.	
X General-E. Project leverages health resources, including a partnership commitment with a healthcare organization.	points
DV-E. Project leverages health resources, including a partnership commitment with a healthcare organization.	
Timeliness	
Seneral-A. Describe plan for rapid implementation of the program, documenting how the project will be ready to begin housing the first program participant. Provide a detailed schedule of proposed activities for 60 days, 120 days, and 180 days after grant award.	points
DV-A. Describe plan for rapid implementation of the program, documenting how the project will be ready to begin housing the first program participant. Provide a detailed schedule of proposed activities for 60 days, 120 days, and 180 days after grant award.	
Financial	
X General-A. Project is cost-effective when projected cost per person served is compared to CoC average within project type.	5 points
X General-A. Project is cost-effective when projected cost per person served is compared to CoC average within project type. DV-A. Project is cost-effective when projected cost per person served is compared to CoC average within project type.	5points
X General-A. Project is cost-effective when projected cost per person served is compared to CoC average within project type. DV-A. Project is cost-effective when projected cost per person served is compared to CoC average within project type. 8. Organization's most recent audit:	,,
X General-A. Project is cost-effective when projected cost per person served is compared to CoC average within project type. DV-A. Project is cost-effective when projected cost per person served is compared to CoC average within project type. B. Organization's most recent audit: X General-1. Found no exceptions to standard practicess	,,
X General-A. Project is cost-effective when projected cost per person served is compared to CoC average within project type. DV-A. Project is cost-effective when projected cost per person served is compared to CoC average within project type. 8. Organization's most recent audit:	,,
X General-A. Project is cost-effective when projected cost per person served is compared to CoC average within project type. DV-A. Project is cost-effective when projected cost per person served is compared to CoC average within project type. B. Organization's most recent audit: X General-1. Found no exceptions to standard practicess DV-1. Found no exceptions to standard practicess	
X General-A. Project is cost-effective when projected cost per person served is compared to CoC average within project type. DV-A. Project is cost-effective when projected cost per person served is compared to CoC average within project type. B. Organization's most recent audit: X General-1. Found no exceptions to standard practicess DV-1. Found no exceptions to standard practicess X General-2. Identified agency as 'low risk'	
X General-A. Project is cost-effective when projected cost per person served is compared to CoC average within project type. DV-A. Project is cost-effective when projected cost per person served is compared to CoC average within project type. B. Organization's most recent audit: X General-1. Found no exceptions to standard practicess DV-1. Found no exceptions to standard practicess X General-2. Identified agency as "low risk" DV-2. Identified agency as "low risk"	2.5 points
X General-A. Project is cost-effective when projected cost per person served is compared to CoC average within project type. DV-A. Project is cost-effective when projected cost per person served is compared to CoC average within project type. B. Organization's most recent audit: X General-1. Found no exceptions to standard practicess DV-1. Found no exceptions to standard practicess X General-2. Identified agency as "low risk" DV-2. Identified agency as "low risk" X General-3. Indicates no findings DV-3. Indicates no findings DV-3. Indicates no findings General-C. Documented match amount meets HUD requirements.	2.5 points
X General-A. Project is cost-effective when projected cost per person served is compared to CoC average within project type.	2.5 points 2.5 points 2.5 points 2.5 points
X General-A. Project is cost-effective when projected cost per person served is compared to CoC average within project type.	2.5 points 2.5 points 2.5 points
X General-A. Project is cost-effective when projected cost per person served is compared to CoC average within project type.	2.5 points 2.5 points 2.5 points 2.5 points
	2.5 points 2.5 points 2.5 points 2.5 points 10 points
	2.5 points 2.5 points 2.5 points 2.5 points
	2.5 points 2.5 points 2.5 points 2.5 points 10 points
X General-A. Project is cost-effective when projected cost per person served is compared to CoC average within project type. DV-A. Project is cost-effective when projected cost per person served is compared to CoC average within project type. B. Organization's most recent audit: X General-1. Found no exceptions to standard practicess DV-1. Found no exceptions to standard practicess X General-2. Identified agency as 'low risk' DV-2. Identified agency as 'low risk' X General-3. Indicates no findings DV-3. Indicates no findings X General-C. Documented match amount meets HUD requirements. X General-D. Budgeted costs are reasonable, allocable, and allowable. Project Effectiveness ✓ X General-Coordinated Entry Participation- Minimum percent of entries projected to come from CE referrals 90 % DV-Coordinated Entry Participation- Minimum percent of entries projected to come from CE referrals Equity Factors	2.5 points 2.5 points 2.5 points 2.5 points 10 points
General-A. Project is cost-effective when projected cost per person served is compared to CoC average within project type. DV-A. Project is cost-effective when projected cost per person served is compared to CoC average within project type. 8. Organization's most recent audit: X General-I. Found no exceptions to standard practicess DV-1. Found no exceptions to standard practicess X General-2. Identified agency as 'low risk' DV-2. Identified agency as 'low risk' X General-3. Indicates no findings DV-3. Indicates no findings DV-3. Indicates no findings X General-C. Documented match amount meets HUD requirements. DV-C. Documented match amount meets HUD requirements. DV-C. Documented match amount meets HUD requirements. DV-D. Budgeted costs are reasonable, allocable, and allowable. DV-D. Budgeted costs are reasonable, allocable, and allowable. DV-D. General-Coordinated Entry Participation-Minimum percent of entries projected to come from CE referrals Equity Factors Agency Leadership, Governance, and Policies	2.5 points 2.5 points 2.5 points 2.5 points 10 points 5.5 points
General-A. Project is cost-effective when projected cost per person served is compared to CoC average within project type. B. O'A. Project is cost-effective when projected cost per person served is compared to CoC average within project type. B. O'Ranization's most recent audit: General-I. Found no exceptions to standard practicess DV-1. Found no exceptions to standard practicess DV-1. Found no exceptions to standard practicess DV-1. Identified agency as 'low risk' DV-2. Identified agency as 'low risk' DV-2. Identified agency as 'low risk' General-3. Indicates no findings DV-3. Indicates no findings DV-3. Indicates no findings DV-6. Documented match amount meets HUD requirements. DV-C. Documented match amount meets HUD requirements. DV-C. Documented match amount meets HUD requirements. DV-0. Budgeted costs are reasonable, allocable, and allowable. DV-0. Budgeted costs are reasonable, allocable, and allowable. Project Effectiveness General-Coordinated Entry Participation- Minimum percent of entries projected to come from CE referrals DV-C. Documented Entry Participation- Minimum percent of entries projected to come from CE referrals Equity Factors Agency Leadership, Governance, and Policies Now project has under-representated individuals (BIPOC, LGBTQ+, etc) in managerial and leadership positions Yes	2.5 points 2.5 points 2.5 points 2.5 points 10 points
General-A. Project is cost-effective when projected cost per person served is compared to CoC average within project type. DV-A. Project is cost-effective when projected cost per person served is compared to CoC average within project type. B. Organization's most recent audit: General-I. Found no exceptions to standard practicess DV-1. Found no exceptions to standard practicess DV-2. Identified agency as 'low risk' DV-2. Identified agency as 'low risk' Seeneral-3. Indicates no findings DV-3. Indicates no findings DV-3. Indicates no findings DV-6. Documented match amount meets HUD requirements. DV-C. Documented match amount meets HUD requirements. General-D. Budgeted costs are reasonable, allocable, and allowable. DV-D. Budgeted costs are reasonable, allocable, and allowable. Project Effectiveness General-Coordinated Entry Participation- Minimum percent of entries projected to come from CE referrals DV-Coordinated Entry Participation- Minimum percent of entries projected to come from CE referrals Equity Factors Agency Leadership, Governance, and Policies New project has under-representated individuals (BIPOC, LGBTQ+, etc) in managerial and leadership positions	2.5 points 2.5 points 2.5 points 2.5 points 10 points 5.5 points
General-A. Project is cost-effective when projected cost per person served is compared to CoC average within project type. DV-A. Project is cost-effective when projected cost per person served is compared to CoC average within project type. B. Organization's most recent audit: General-1. Found no exceptions to standard practicess DV-1. Found no exceptions to standard practicess General-2. Identified agency as 'low risk' DV-2. Identified agency as 'low risk' General-3. Indicates no findings DV-3. Indicates no findings DV-3. Indicates no findings DV-5. Indicates no findings DV-6. Documented match amount meets HUD requirements. DV-C. Documented match amount meets HUD requirements. General-0. Budgeted costs are reasonable, allocable, and allowable. DV-0. Budgeted costs are reasonable, allocable, and allowable. Project Effectiveness General-Coordinated Entry Participation- Minimum percent of entries projected to come from CE referrals Equity Factors Agency Leadership, Governance, and Policies New project has under-representated individuals (BPOC, LGBTQ+, etc) in managerial and leadership positions New project has under-representated individuals (BPOC, LGBTQ+, etc) in managerial and leadership positions New project has under-representated individuals (BPOC, LGBTQ+, etc) in managerial and leadership positions New project has under-representated individuals (BPOC, LGBTQ+, etc) in managerial and leadership positions New project has under-representated individuals (BPOC, LGBTQ+, etc) in managerial and leadership positions New project has relieved internal policies and procedures with an equity lens and has a plan for developing and implementing equitable policies that do not	2.5 points 2.5 points 2.5 points 2.5 points 10 points 5.5 points 2 points 2 points points points
Seneral-A. Project is cost-effective when projected cost per person served is compared to CoC average within project type. DV-A. Project is cost-effective when projected cost per person served is compared to CoC average within project type. B. Organization's most recent audit: General-1. Found no exceptions to standard practicess DV-1. Found no exceptions to standard practicess General-2. Identified agency as 'low risk' DV-2. Identified agency as 'low risk' General-3. Indicates no findings DV-3. Indicates no findings DV-3. Indicates no findings DV-3. Indicates no findings DV-0. Documented match amount meets HIJD requirements. DV-C. Documented match amount meets HIJD requirements. DV-D. Documented match amount meets HIJD requirements. DV-D. Budgeted costs are reasonable, allocable, and allowable. DV-D. Budgeted costs are reasonable, allocable, and allowable. Project Effectiveness General-Coordinated Entry Participation- Minimum percent of entries projected to come from CE referrals Equity Factors Agency Leadership, Governance, and Policies X. New project has under-representated individuals (BIPOC, LGBTQ-, etc) in managerial and leadership positions X. New project has relational process for receiving and incorporating feedback from persons with lived experience (per 578.75(g)) X. New project has relational process for receiving and incorporating feedback from persons with lived experience or a plan to create one Yes	2.5 points 2.5 points 2.5 points 2.5 points 10 points 5.5 points 2 points

Program Participant Outcomes		
New project describes their plan for reviewing program participant outcomes with an equity lens, including the disaggregation of data by race, ethnicity, gender identity, and/or age. If already implementing a plan, describe findings from outcomes review	5	_ points
X New project describes plan to review whether programmatic changes are needed to make program participant outcomes more equitable and developed a plan to make those changes. If already implementing plan, describe findings from review	5	_ points
New project describes plan to work with HMIS lead to develop a schedule for reviewing HMIS data with disaggregation by race, ethnicity, gender identity, and or/age. If already implementing plan, describe findings from review		
Other and Local Criteria		
X How do persons with Lived Experience provide insight, training, and voice regarding the current homeless system? How does the project/ origanization meaningfully engage persons with Lived Experience in the planning and application process?	5	_ points
X Project Type is Permanent Supportive Housing (PSH) and is 100% dedicated to Chronically Homeless	10	points
X Project Type is Permanent Supportive Housing (PSH) and is not 100% dedicated to Chronically Homeless	9	_ points
X Project Type is Permanent Supportive Housing (PSH) and propses Acquisition, Construction, or Rehabilitation Costs in the budget	2	points
X Project Type is Rapid Rehousing	8	_ points
X Project Type is Joint TH and RRH	6	_ points
X Project Type is SSO (non-coordinated entry)	4	points
X Project Type is Dedicated HMIS or SSO for Coordinated Entry	2	points

General projects: 54.5 points **Total Maximum Score**